motion to dismiss plaintiff's original complaint. (Doc. No. 4.) U.S. Bank is the successor in interest to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation as receiver for defendant Downey Savings and Loan Association, F.A. ("Downey"). For the reasons stated herein, the motion is DENIED AS MOOT.

of a loan she received from Downey when she refinanced her home on May 11, 2006. On that date, plaintiff signed an adjustable rate note in the amount of \$296,000 in addition to a deed of trust that gave Downey a power of sale against her property. Plaintiff alleges that loan's terms included a yield spread premium ("YSP") that Downey never disclosed to her. The alleged affect of the YSP was an

25

26

27

28

- 1 -08cv2336 increase in the rate of the note. Plaintiff alleges she paid her monthly mortgage through October 2007, and that Downey filed a Notice of Default on March 27, 2008. On July 31, 2008 plaintiff sent a Notice of Recission and Notice of Tender to Downey based on violations of the Truth in Lending Act. On July 31, 2008 there was a trustee's sale of plaintiff's property, which was conveyed to defendant Deutsche Bank National Trust Company ("Deutsche") as a credit bid.

Plaintiff brought the instant action for declaratory relief, cancellation of the trustee's deed, trustee's sale, notice of sale, and notice of default, rescission, and quiet title in the Superior Court of California on November 5, 2008. Downey removed the case to this Court on December 17, 2008. (Doc. No. 1.) On December 23, 2008, Downey filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. (Doc. No. 4.) Plaintiff did not file an opposition, and Downey filed a "response in support" of its motion on February 12, 2009. (Doc. No. 5.) Plaintiff filed a first amended complaint on February 23, 2009. (Doc. No. 7.) The Court finds Downey's motion suitable for disposition without oral argument pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7.1(d)(1).

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15 provides, "[a] party may amend its pleading once as a matter of course . . . before being served with a responsive pleading." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(A) (2009). Although Downey has filed a motion to dismiss the original complaint, a motion to dismiss is not a "responsive pleading" within the meaning of the Rule 15. Breier v. N. Cal. Bowling Proprietors' Ass'n., 316 F.2d 787, 789 (9th Cir. Cal. 1963). A motion for leave to amend a complaint is unnecessary if filed before an answer, but if such a motion is filed at that stage, the Court must grant it. Id. Because plaintiff has filed an amended complaint before being served with a responsive pleading, the first amended complaint is now the operative complaint. The Court therefore **DENIES AS MOOT** U.S. Bank's motion to dismiss the original complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**DATED: March 3, 2009** 

**United States District Court** 

- 2 -08cv2336